

2026 HAP® Conference Poster Presentation Rating Sheet

Each criterion will be rated on a scale of 1-5, and an overall score will be given. The following scales are possible:

- 1: Poor or missing
- 2: Fair (needs improvement)
- 3: Good (meets expectations)
- 4: Very good (strong performance)
- 5: Excellent (outstanding performance)

Criteria	Rating	Weight	Score
Relevance and Innovation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Originality of the Topic: Is the topic innovative or addressing an important problem in the field? • Impact and Significance: Does the research or project have potential significance or impact in its respective field? • Context and Background: Does the poster provide sufficient background to understand the relevance of the information being presented? 		0.15	
Scientific or Technical Content <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accuracy and Relevance of Data: Are the data and findings presented in an accurate and meaningful way? • Methods and Approach: Are the methods clearly described and appropriate for the research question or project? • Results: Are the results well-presented, and do they support the hypotheses or objectives? • Interpretation of Results: Does the discussion/interpretation of results make sense and follow logically from the data? • Proper Citations: Are sources and references appropriately cited? 		0.40	
Clarity of Content: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance of Title: Does the title clearly describe the poster's topic? 		0.20	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract/Introduction: Is the introduction clear and concise, setting the stage for the research or project? • Clear Objectives and Hypotheses: Are the goals of the study or project clearly stated? 			
Engagement and Communication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity of Oral Presentation: Did the presenter clearly explain the poster content and respond to questions effectively? • Ability to Engage the Audience: Did the presenter engage with viewers, providing additional context or clarifying points as needed? • Level of Detail: Was the level of detail in the oral explanation appropriate for the audience? 		0.15	
Design and Visual Appeal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Logical Flow: Are the sections of the poster well-organized (e.g., Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion)? • Font Size and Readability: Are the fonts large enough to be easily read from a distance? Is the text legible? • Use of Graphics: Are the images, graphs, and tables clear and relevant to the content? 		0.10	
Total Score:			