

## 2026 HAP® Conference

### Poster Presentation Rating Sheet

Each criterion will be rated on a scale of 1-5, and an overall score will be given. The following scales are possible:

- 1: Poor or missing
- 2: Fair (needs improvement)
- 3: Good (meets expectations)
- 4: Very good (strong performance)
- 5: Excellent (outstanding performance)

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>Relevance and Innovation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Originality of the Topic:</b> Is the topic innovative or addressing an important problem in the field?</li> <li>• <b>Impact and Significance:</b> Does the research or project have potential significance or impact in its respective field?</li> <li>• <b>Context and Background:</b> Does the poster provide sufficient background to understand the relevance of the information being presented?</li> </ul>		0.15	
<b>Scientific or Technical Content</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Accuracy and Relevance of Data:</b> Are the data and findings presented in an accurate and meaningful way?</li> <li>• <b>Methods and Approach:</b> Are the methods clearly described and appropriate for the research question or project?</li> <li>• <b>Results:</b> Are the results well-presented, and do they support the hypotheses or objectives?</li> <li>• <b>Interpretation of Results:</b> Does the discussion/interpretation of results make sense and follow logically from the data?</li> <li>• <b>Proper Citations:</b> Are sources and references appropriately cited?</li> </ul>		0.40	
<b>Clarity of Content:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Relevance of Title:</b> Does the title clearly describe the poster's topic?</li> </ul>		0.20	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Abstract/Introduction:</b> Is the introduction clear and concise, setting the stage for the research or project?</li> <li>• <b>Clear Objectives and Hypotheses:</b> Are the goals of the study or project clearly stated?</li> </ul>			
<b>Engagement and Communication</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Clarity of Oral Presentation:</b> Did the presenter clearly explain the poster content and respond to questions effectively?</li> <li>• <b>Ability to Engage the Audience:</b> Did the presenter engage with viewers, providing additional context or clarifying points as needed?</li> <li>• <b>Level of Detail:</b> Was the level of detail in the oral explanation appropriate for the audience?</li> </ul>		0.15	
<b>Design and Visual Appeal</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Logical Flow:</b> Are the sections of the poster well-organized (e.g., Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion)?</li> <li>• <b>Font Size and Readability:</b> Are the fonts large enough to be easily read from a distance? Is the text legible?</li> <li>• <b>Use of Graphics:</b> Are the images, graphs, and tables clear and relevant to the content?</li> </ul>		0.10	
<b>Total Score:</b>			